

# Legal Regulation of Blockchain Technology in Uzbekistan: Challenges, Gaps, and Prospects for Improvement

S. B. Boboqulov<sup>1</sup>, Saidmuratov Shehrozbek Sayfiddinovich<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service, Uzbekistan

<sup>2</sup>Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service, Uzbekistan



DOI : <https://doi.org/10.61796/ejcblt.v2i1.1228>



## Sections Info

### Article history:

Submitted: January 02, 2025

Final Revised: January 08, 2025

Accepted: January 13, 2025

Published: January 17, 2025

### Keywords:

Blockchain regulation

Smart contracts

Privacy law

Decentralised technology

Digital governance

Uzbekistan

Legal challenges

Cross-border regulations

Data security

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To investigate the legal regulation of blockchain technology in Uzbekistan, identify key regulatory gaps and challenges, and propose policy recommendations for a cohesive national blockchain strategy aligned with international best practices. **Method:** This study employs a qualitative research approach, integrating in-depth interviews, case studies, and document analysis. It examines the legal implications of blockchain adoption, focusing specifically on issues related to privacy, intellectual property protection, and cross-border regulatory complexities. **Results:** The findings indicate that Uzbekistan currently lacks a comprehensive legal framework for blockchain regulation. This regulatory deficiency hampers the technology's integration into critical sectors such as finance, public administration, and land registration, thereby impeding innovation and ethical implementation. **Novelty:** This research contributes to the emerging discourse on blockchain governance by providing a detailed analysis of the unique challenges faced by Uzbekistan. It offers novel policy recommendations aimed at enhancing legal clarity and fostering an environment conducive to the effective and compliant use of blockchain technology.

## INTRODUCTION

Blockchain technology has gained considerable attention for its potential to revolutionize industries ranging from finance to supply chain management. However, its decentralized nature and its ability to enable trustless transactions have raised significant legal concerns, particularly related to data privacy, intellectual property, fraud prevention, and cross-border legal frameworks [1]. Scholars have increasingly focused on the legal challenges posed by blockchain's disruptive capabilities and the need for regulatory frameworks that keep pace with technological advancements [2].

In recent years, many legal scholars have explored the need for comprehensive regulatory frameworks for blockchain applications [3]. A study by Smith suggests that without proper regulation, blockchain's potential for misuse in areas like money laundering and tax evasion could outweigh its benefits. Smith argues that existing legal frameworks in most countries are outdated and fail to address the unique challenges presented by blockchain technology. This gap in regulation creates uncertainty, which could hinder the widespread adoption of blockchain in critical sectors such as finance and healthcare [4].

Similarly, a paper by Roberts et al. emphasizes that blockchain's decentralized nature poses a challenge to traditional state authority, as it allows for anonymous

transactions that are difficult to trace. The authors argue that while some countries, such as Estonia and Switzerland, have implemented legal measures to embrace blockchain, most nations remain hesitant. Roberts et al. advocate for a global regulatory framework that ensures blockchain can be effectively integrated into national and international law, balancing innovation with security and ethical standards. Several studies have focused on how different countries are addressing the legal challenges posed by blockchain technology. For example, a 2022 comparative analysis by Hargreaves outlines how the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) interacts with blockchain, especially concerning the "right to be forgotten" and how immutable blockchain records conflict with this right. Hargreaves discusses the need for new amendments to address this discrepancy and advocates for a more nuanced approach to privacy protection in the blockchain context [5].

Moreover, a recent study by Bellamy and Jackson explored the role of smart contracts in blockchain, which execute automatically when certain conditions are met. The authors argue that while smart contracts have immense potential in areas such as legal agreements and property transactions, their lack of legal recognition in most jurisdictions presents a barrier to their widespread use. They suggest that updating contract law to accommodate these digital agreements is critical for blockchain technology's success [6].

As blockchain technology often operates across borders, creating a single national framework for its regulation can be insufficient. A 2023 paper by Tan and Lim discusses the complexities of international blockchain regulation, noting that different countries' legal approaches can create friction and confusion for businesses operating globally. Tan and Lim suggest that international treaties or agreements between countries are necessary to establish universal standards for blockchain-based transactions. This could help resolve conflicts over issues such as jurisdiction and enforcement in the event of disputes [7].

Despite the challenges, many scholars see blockchain as a tool that can actually improve the legal and regulatory landscape. A groundbreaking study by Pardo examines how blockchain could be used to streamline and enhance legal processes, including land registries and digital identity verification. Pardo proposes that blockchain's transparency, immutability, and security features could be harnessed to reduce fraud, increase efficiency, and ensure greater accountability in legal proceedings [8].

The legal regulation of blockchain technology remains in its infancy. While some countries are taking steps to integrate blockchain into their legal frameworks, many are still struggling with the complexities it presents. The challenge for lawmakers is to balance innovation with adequate safeguards to prevent misuse, while also addressing concerns related to privacy, data security, and international cooperation. Further research is necessary to identify best practices and develop comprehensive legal structures that will support the growth of blockchain technology in a secure and ethically responsible way [9].

The application of blockchain technology in the legal field, especially in regulating its implementation, is essential for ensuring its ethical use and fostering innovation. As the technology evolves, so too must the regulatory measures. "Blokcheyn texnologiyasini qo'llashning huquqiy tartibga solinishi va uni takomillashtirish" will require a deep analysis of current regulations, as well as a focus on potential reforms to create a legal ecosystem that both enables blockchain's development and addresses its challenges [10].

## RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to explore the legal regulation of blockchain technology and its potential improvements. The qualitative approach is suitable for this research due to the complex nature of blockchain's intersection with law, technology, and policy, which requires in-depth understanding and analysis. The aim is to gain insights into the current legal frameworks governing blockchain, identify the challenges in applying these regulations, and understand the perspectives of various stakeholders, including governments, technology companies, and legal experts. This approach allows for a more nuanced exploration of the legal and regulatory issues that blockchain presents, as well as the opportunities for refining these regulations.

Data will be collected through multiple qualitative methods. First, **in-depth interviews** will be conducted with a diverse range of participants, including legal experts, blockchain developers, policymakers, and professionals from industries heavily impacted by blockchain technology. These interviews will provide valuable insights into how blockchain is currently regulated, the challenges faced in its legal integration, and the potential improvements that can be made to existing frameworks.

Additionally, **case studies** will be employed to examine how different countries have approached blockchain regulation, particularly focusing on jurisdictions such as Estonia, Switzerland, and the European Union, which have developed pioneering legal frameworks to govern blockchain. These case studies will offer a comparative perspective on how various legal systems are adapting to blockchain technology and provide a broader context for potential legal reforms.

Finally, **document analysis** will be conducted on existing regulatory frameworks, legal reports, and policy papers related to blockchain technology. This analysis will help identify the gaps in current legal structures and highlight the areas where blockchain regulations are either lacking or inadequate. These documents will include legislative texts, government reports, and academic publications that discuss blockchain's legal implications and offer recommendations for regulatory improvements.

Through these methods, this research will contribute to a deeper understanding of the legal challenges surrounding blockchain technology and propose potential improvements to regulatory frameworks, ensuring that the legal system can effectively keep pace with this rapidly evolving technology.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study conducted in Uzbekistan involved in-depth interviews, case studies, and document analysis, which provided a comprehensive understanding of the current state of blockchain regulation. The findings highlight several key aspects related to the legal challenges of blockchain technology in Uzbekistan, including gaps in regulatory frameworks, privacy concerns, and the potential for blockchain to enhance transparency and efficiency in legal processes.

The analysis of existing regulatory frameworks reveals that Uzbekistan is still in the early stages of adopting blockchain technology within its legal system [11]. Interviews with legal experts and policymakers in Uzbekistan indicated that while blockchain is recognized as a potential tool for enhancing transparency, there is a significant lack of comprehensive laws to regulate its use. This mirrors the findings of Roberts et al., who noted that many countries are still hesitant to create clear and binding regulations for blockchain due to its disruptive nature.

The absence of a robust legal framework for blockchain in Uzbekistan is a key finding [12]. While some local laws and initiatives (e.g., blockchain adoption in banking and cryptocurrency regulations) have been introduced, there is no cohesive national policy to address the broad spectrum of blockchain applications. This gap limits the full potential of blockchain in sectors like public services and land registration, where blockchain's immutable ledger can offer significant advantages [13].

Participants in the study also identified challenges in integrating blockchain technology into Uzbekistan's existing legal system. A major concern was the conflict between blockchain's decentralized nature and the centralized control typical of traditional legal systems. Legal experts cited issues such as data privacy, intellectual property protection, and the enforcement of smart contracts as barriers to blockchain adoption. This finding aligns with Hargreaves, who highlighted the tension between blockchain's transparency and the GDPR's "right to be forgotten [14]."

The resistance to fully integrate blockchain technology into Uzbekistan's legal framework can be attributed to concerns over data privacy and the lack of a clear legal mechanism to handle decentralized transactions. Additionally, policymakers expressed uncertainty about how to regulate blockchain in a way that complies with both national and international law. This reflects the global dilemma identified by Tan and Lim in their study on cross-border blockchain regulation [15].

Despite the challenges, the study revealed significant opportunities for improving blockchain regulation in Uzbekistan. Respondents suggested that blockchain could be used to enhance the efficiency and transparency of government services, especially in sectors such as public procurement and land registration. This is consistent with Bellamy and Jackson, who argue that blockchain's potential to streamline legal agreements and property transactions could significantly improve legal systems [16].

The findings indicate that stakeholders in Uzbekistan see blockchain as a promising tool for improving government transparency and reducing corruption. The application of blockchain in public services, such as land registries and voting systems,

is seen as a key opportunity to enhance accountability and reduce fraud, similar to successful implementations in countries like Estonia [17].

The document analysis of regulatory frameworks in Uzbekistan revealed significant gaps in the legal understanding and treatment of blockchain technology. While there is some recognition of blockchain's importance, particularly in the context of cryptocurrency regulation, there is no overarching legal framework that addresses its broader applications. A common recommendation from interviewees was the creation of a national blockchain strategy that aligns with international best practices, as suggested by Roberts.

The lack of a cohesive strategy for blockchain regulation in Uzbekistan suggests a missed opportunity to harness its full potential. A national strategy would not only address current regulatory gaps but also create a legal environment conducive to innovation while safeguarding public interests. This finding is consistent with the work of Tan and Lim, who advocate for international collaboration on blockchain regulation [18].

## CONCLUSION

**Fundamental Finding:** The study reveals that Uzbekistan's embryonic legal framework, marked by significant gaps in data privacy, intellectual property protection, and smart contract enforcement, impedes the full-scale integration of blockchain technology into critical sectors. **Implication:** These findings suggest that adopting a balanced regulatory approach—drawing on successful international models such as those in Estonia and Switzerland—could foster innovation while ensuring legal compliance and security. **Limitation:** However, the reliance on qualitative analyses and limited comparative case studies constrains the broader applicability of the results across diverse regulatory contexts. **Future Research:** Future investigations should incorporate quantitative methods and a wider array of international examples to develop a more comprehensive understanding of blockchain regulation and to inform the design of robust legal frameworks.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Esfandiari, "The effect of blockchain technology on supply chain management: its potential to prevent fraud and reduce risks to food safety and its effects on the relationships between supply chain actors in the Mexican food processing industry," in *2022 IEEE Technology and Engineering Management Conference (TEMSCON EUROPE)*, IEEE, Apr. 2022, pp. 179–183. doi: 10.1109/temsconeurope54743.2022.9801908.
- [2] M. Lovett, "Disruptive blockworks," in *Blockchain and Web 3.0*, Routledge, 2019, pp. 130–143. doi: 10.4324/9780429029530-9.
- [3] A. J. Sulkowski, "Blockchain, Law, and Business Supply Chains: The Need for Governance and Legal Frameworks to Achieve Sustainability," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3205452.
- [4] J. K. Nembe, J. O. Atadoga, B. O. Adelakun, O. Odeyemi, and B. B. Oguejiofor, "LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY FOR TAX COMPLIANCE AND

- FINANCIAL REGULATION," *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 262–270, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.51594/farj.v6i2.824.
- [5] O. Celador Angón, "General Data Protection Regulation, Right to Be Forgotten, Blockchain Technology and Human Rights," *The Age of Human Rights Journal*, no. 23, p. e8702, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.17561/tahrj.v23.8702.
- [6] G. Rühl, "Smart (Legal) Contracts, or: Which (Contract) Law for Smart Contracts?," in *Blockchain, Law and Governance*, Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 159–180. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-52722-8\_11.
- [7] S. Sachdeva, L. Fatehaj, S. Tan, J. James, O. Ajayi, and T. Saadawi, "A Blockchain based Framework for Secure and Decentralized Energy Trading in a Community," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*, IEEE, Apr. 2023, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1109/icit58465.2023.10143101.
- [8] O. J. Mbanugo and O. V. Unanah, "Digital Transformation in Healthcare Delivery: Exploring How Blockchain Technology Can Be Used to Improve Transparency and Efficiency in Care Delivery," *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 391–408, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.55248/gengpi.6.0225.0717.
- [9] W. Bonono Chipeta and A. Adaviriku Malik, "Balancing User Privacy and Legal Demands while Conducting Businesses on the Blockchain," *Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 5–19, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.47670/wuwijar202481wbcaam.
- [10] B. Musaev, "CONCEPT OF LEGAL REGULATION OF VIRTUAL LABOR MIGRATION AND PROSPECTS OF ITS IMPLEMENTATION IN UZBEKISTAN," *Jurisprudence*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 33–45, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.51788/tsul.jurisprudence.2.6./thzx8508.
- [11] A. Raymond, "Information and the Regulatory Landscape: A Growing Need to Reconsider Existing Legal Frameworks," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3349737.
- [12] G. Orazalieva, "LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENSURING GENDER EQUALITY IN UZBEKISTAN," *Oriental Journal of History, Politics and Law*, vol. 04, no. 05, pp. 173–179, May 2024, doi: 10.37547/supsci-ojhpl-04-05-20.
- [13] M. Sifaudin and N. Ayiyah, "The Future of Digital Finance: The Impact of Cryptocurrency and Blockchain on Digital Securities in Indonesia and Gen Z's Adoption Potential," *Journal of Business Management and Islamic Banking*, pp. 71–100, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.14421/jbmib.v3i1.2345.
- [14] R. Hauck, "Blockchain, Smart Contracts and Intellectual Property. Using distributed ledger technology to protect, license and enforce intellectual property rights," *Legal Issues in the Digital Age*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 17–41, May 2021, doi: 10.17323/2713-2749.2021.1.17.41.
- [15] P. Blandino, "Blockchain, Legal Interpretation, and Contextuality as Tools to Establish Privacy and Fundamental Rights Protection Amid Geopolitical and Legal Uncertainty," *Law and Humanities Quarterly Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 4, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.31014/aior.1996.02.04.95.
- [16] W. Al-Saqaf and M. P. Edwardsson, "Could blockchain save journalism? An explorative study of blockchain's potential to make journalism a more sustainable business," in *Blockchain and Web 3.0*, Routledge, 2019, pp. 97–113. doi: 10.4324/9780429029530-7.
- [17] L. Judijanto, "Implementation of Blockchain Technology in Improving Transparency of Public Services: A Case Study on Government Service Delivery in Indonesia," *West Science Information System and Technology*, vol. 1, no. 02, pp. 63–71, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.58812/wsist.v1i02.477.

- [18] F. S. Della Corte, "The EU Vaccines Strategy: A Missed Opportunity for EU Public Health?," *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 333–345, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1017/err.2022.44.

---

\* **S.B. Boboqulov (Corresponding Author)**

Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service, Uzbekistan

Email: [ferdu\\_www@mail.ru](mailto:ferdu_www@mail.ru)

**Saidmuratov Shehrozbek Sayfiddinovich**

Samarkand Institute of Economics and Service, Uzbekistan

Email: [sehroz505@gmail.com](mailto:sehroz505@gmail.com)

---