

**THE PREVALENCE OF URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS
AND THE AGENTS RESPONSIBLE FOR THEM IN
PREGNANT WOMEN IN THE PROVINCE OF KARBALA****Shahad Kadhém Jafar Al-baiaty**University of Wasit / College of Education for Pure
Scienceshjaffer@uowasit.edu.iq**Sarah Akar Ali**Ministry of health
sarahakar25@gmail.com**Riyam Ghazi Kareem Al-Sarray**Ministry of health
ghaziryeam@gmail.com**Hawra Raad Mahdi**University of Babylon
hawraaraadma@gmail.com**Jinan Talib Jassab**Alqasim Qreen University _ College of Biotechnology
jenantalib1996@gmail.com**Basim Jawad Hussein**Ministry of Agriculture
bassimj89@gmail.com

Received: Jun 22, 2024; Accepted: Jul 29, 2024; Published: Aug 10, 2024;

Abstract: Along with anemia and hypertension, urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most frequent medical problems of pregnancy, occurring in between 5% and 10% of pregnancies. This study set out to ascertain the frequency of urinary tract infections (UTIs) and the presence of uropathogens in expectant mothers. 417 urine samples were examined between December 2021 and August 2022 of that research period. When a urinary tract pathogen grew to at least 10⁵ CFU/ml in a culture of a midstream urine sample, the diagnosis of UTI was made. Biochemical assays were used to identify the isolated microorganisms. According to the results, 49.4% of expectant mothers had a UTI. The most often isolated organism was E. Coli (56.79%), which was followed by Proteus sp (5.8%), Pseudomonas sp (6.3%), and Klebsiella sp (19.9%)..

Keywords: pregnancy, uropathogens, E. coli, ASB, and UTI

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license**Introduction**

In community practice, urinary tract infections (UTIs) rank second in frequency of infection. Women are more likely than males to get a UTI; between 40% and 50% of them will experience at least one clinical episode in their lives [1]. Pregnancy, a small urethra, the lack of

prostatic secretions, and the ease with which faecal bacteria might contaminate the urinary system are all potential risk factors for urinary tract infections in women [2]. Ureteral dilatation occurs in around 90% of pregnant women and lasts till birth [3]. Additionally, it could be a factor in ureterovesical reflux and increased urine stasis. Additionally, up to 70% of pregnant women have glycosuria, which is thought to promote bacterial growth in the urine, and the natural increase in plasma volume during pregnancy lowers urine concentration [3,4]. Consequently, pyelonephritis is the most frequent serious bacterial infection that complicates pregnancy, with urinary tract infections (UTIs) being the most prevalent kind of infection during pregnancy. In the second part of pregnancy, 1% to 4% of pregnant women may suffer acute cystitis, 1% to 2% will develop severe acute pyelonephritis, and 4% to 10% will have asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) [5].

Pregnancy-related UTIs are more likely to occur in women with a history of the condition. Other risk factors include worse personal hygiene, anemia and sickle cell trait, greater parity or age, and inadequate prenatal care. Functional urinary tract abnormalities and diabetes mellitus may further heighten a woman's vulnerability to urinary tract infections (UTIs) during pregnancy [6]. Preterm labor and/or low birth weight are doubled by ASB, and infections, especially in the elderly and during pregnancy, might be asymptomatic. If a UTI is left untreated during pregnancy, there is an increased risk of pyelonephritis, early delivery, and fetal fatality by 20% to 40%. The risk of embryonic mortality and mental impairment or developmental delay is increased when a third trimester UTI occurs [6].

Enterobacteriaceae family members are the most often found pathogens, accounting for 84.3% of UTIs [7]. The same microorganisms that cause UTIs in non-pregnant individuals also cause them in pregnant women. Approximately 85% of community-acquired UTIs, 50% of nosocomial UTIs, and more than 80% of cases of uncomplicated pyelonephritis are caused by *E. Coli* [8–9].

These *E. Coli* may be endogenous colon flora that colonize the vaginal introitus and periurethral region before ascending to the bladder and the renal pelvis through a process controlled by receptors. Both host and bacterial components, including as tissue receptors and the production of bacterial attachment proteins, are involved in the process [10]. A specific injury to the kidney epithelium is induced by a vacuolating cytotoxin that is produced by uropathogenic *E. coli* [11]. 6% to 17% of all nosocomial UTIs are caused by the medically equally significant enterobacteria-ceae species *Klebsiella*, which has an even greater prevalence in certain patient populations that are at risk [12].

Proteus mirabilis is frequently the cause of urinary tract infections (UTIs) in those who have difficult UTIs or long-term urinary catheter users. Even though *P. mirabilis* is sensitive to antibiotics, treating it with them might be challenging. Antibiotic therapy has been hypothesised to protect microorganisms found in a stone matrix [13]. Certain less common forms of bacteria can cause UTIs [14].

The expression of many types of receptors in the urinary system as a result of physiological, hormonal, and structural changes during pregnancy increases the specificity of infection. Untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria has been shown to raise the risk of low birth weight and early delivery [15], as well as having a 20% to 30% chance of causing acute pyelonephritis [14,16,17]. In order to prevent these consequences, it is crucial to detect UTI infections with intensive screening and treatment for ASB throughout pregnancy. The identification and incidence of UTI in pregnant women in the southern and northern regions of Karnataka, South India, are the main subjects of this study. It also emphasizes the prevalence and kinds of etiological agents at various times of pregnancy.

417 urine samples total from women at varying stages of pregnancy were taken. All of the chemicals and reagents needed for the culture medium were purchased from Karbala's central medical laboratory.

2.1. Microscopic Study

The study included urine samples with more than 10 pus cells and a high power field (40×) in order to meet one of the diagnostic criteria for urinary tract infections.

2.2. Isolation and Identification of Uropathogens

Using a calibrated loop and semiquantitative urine culture, bacterial pathogens were isolated on nutrient agar plates. Isolated colonies were grown on differential media, such as MacConkey's agar and blood agar, to further characterize them based on cultural traits [18]. As to Kass's [19] guidelines, isolate a single bacterial species at a concentration of greater than 10⁵ colony-forming units (CFU) from a urine sample in order to differentiate a true infection from contamination. The analysis only included a single positive culture per subject.

In situations where there was no growth, the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and then left for a further 48 hours. Additionally, the isolates were identified using biochemical, morphological, and cultural testing. According to Cheesbrough [20,21], the processes utilized in the identification and characterization of the isolated bacteria included Gram staining, the motility test, and biochemical assays including TSI and IMViC. After being identified and isolated, the uropathogens were kept at -20°C in nutritional broth with 25% glycerol

Result and Discussion

206 of the 417 urine samples that were analyzed in this research had substantial bacteriuria. Upon high power (40×) field microscopy, more than 10 pus cells were seen. 49.4% of pregnant women were found to have a UTI overall.

Women in the age categories of 21–25 and 36–40 years old exhibited the greatest incidence (53%) of UTI, respectively. The overall incidence of UTI varied from 44% to 53% according to age (Table 1).

Table 1. Incidence of UTI in relation to age distribution in pregnant women.

Age group (years)	A (n)	No. tested		No. positive		No. negative	
		n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)
18-20	1	1	14.3%	8	48.3%	30	51.6%
21-25	2	31	31.17%	70	53%	62	46.9%
26-30	2	50	36.45%	3	47.3%	81	52.6%
31-35	3	44	10.79%	0	44.3%	23	55.5%
36-40	3	1	7.19%	5	53.3%	15	46.6%
Total	T	417	100%	206	49.4%	211	50.5%

Pregnant women had the highest incidence of UTI (54.8%) in their third pregnancy and beyond, followed by their first pregnancy (48.4%), and their second pregnancy (43.3%), which had the lowest incidence of UTI (Table 2).

Table 2. Incidence of UTI by parity (no: of pregnancy).

No: of Parity	No: tested	N	No: positive (%)
First pregnancy	91	1	91 (48.4%)
Second pregnancy	2	9	38 (43.3%)
Third pregnancy and above	34	1	76 (54.8%)
Total	17	4	206 (49.4%)

According to gestational age, or the age at which a pregnancy begins, the frequency of UTI is highest in the seventh month (70.2%), highest in the third month (25%) and lowest in the fifth (29%) (Table 3).

Table 3. Incidence of UTI by gestational age (age of pregnancy).

Age of pregnancy (months)	No: tested	No: positive (%)
3	13	3 (25%)
4	21	13 (54.5%)
5	57	16 (29.3%)
6	73	38 (51.3%)
7	75	51 (70.2%)
8	121	57 (47.5%)
9	57	28 (47.3%)
Total	417	206 (49.4%)

Table 4 illustrates the incidence of UTI by trimester and shows that women had a higher incidence of UTI cases in their second and third trimesters (54.1% and 43.3%, respectively) compared to their first trimester (25%).

Table 4. Incidence of UTI by trimester period.

Trimester period	No: tested	N	No: positive (%)
I trimester (1 st 3 months)	3	1	4 (25%)
II trimester (2 nd 3 months)	50	1	4 (42.4%)
III trimester (3 rd 3 months)	54	2	38 (55.15%)
Total	17	4	206 (49.4%)

Of the 206 bacterial isolates isolated from 417 urine samples, the majority (99%) were Gram-negative bacteria, with *Escherichia coli* accounting for 56.79% of the isolates. *Enterobacter* spp (3.8%), *Citrobacter* spp (1.4%), *Enterococcus* spp (0.9%), *Pseudomonas* spp (6.3%), *Proteus* spp (5.8%), *Klebsiella* spp (19.9%), and other NFGNB (4.8%) as Table 5 illustrates.

Table 5. Frequency of bacteria isolated from pregnant women with UTI.

Isolates	No: of positive samples (%)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	181 (56.82%)
<i>Klebsiella species</i>	40 (19.4%)
<i>Pseudomonas species</i>	12 (5.8%)
<i>Proteus species</i>	13 (6.3%)
<i>Enterobacter</i>	8 (3.8%)
<i>Citrobacter</i>	2 (0.3%)
<i>Enterococcus species</i>	3 (1.4%)
NFGNB	11 (4.8%)
Total	206 (49.4%)

It was discovered that there was a similar correlation between the age of pregnant women and the frequency of isolation of various species (Tables 6 and 7).

Table 6. Incidence of bacterial isolates in different trimester period.

Trimester	No positive	<i>E. coli</i> (%)	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp (%)	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp (%)	<i>Proteus</i> spp (%)	<i>Enterobacter</i> spp (%)	<i>Citrobacter</i> spp (%)	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp (%)	FGNB (%)
I	3	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
II	7	4(50)	5 (22)	4 (7.5)	4 (7.5)	2 (4.5)	2 (3.0)	0	12.1
III	1	2 (59.1)	6 (19.80)	7 (5.8)	8 (5.1)	4 (3.6)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.4)	4.3
Total	11	19 (56.7)	1 (19.85)	1 (6.3)	4 (5.8)	6 (3.8)	3 (1.4)	2 (0.9)	0 (4.8)

Table 7. Frequency of bacterial isolates in UTI cases in relation to month of pregnancy.

Month	No. positive	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>Pseudomonas</i>	<i>Proteus</i>	<i>Enterobacter</i>	<i>Citrobacter</i>	<i>Enterococcus</i>	FGNB	N
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
3	3	(100)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	1	(50)	16.6	0	16.6	0	8.8	0	8.3	1
5	6	(52.9)	17.64	5.8	0	5.8	5.7	0	11.74	2
6	3	7 (48.6)	24.38	10.8	10.8	5.4	0	0	0	0
7	5	2 (59.5)	15.32	5.8	5.7	1.9	1.9	1	7.8	4
8	5	5 (64.8)	22.2	6.7	3.4	3.4	0	1.7	0	0
9	2	5 (51.2)	22.4	3.7	3.7	7.4	0	0	11.11	3
10	2	1	19.90	6.3	5.8	3.8	1.4	2	4.8	1
total	06	17 (56.7)	1	3 (6.3)	2 (5.8)	(3.8)	(1.4)	3	2	0 (4.8)

Discussion

Symptomatic or asymptomatic bacteremia is common during pregnancy. Acute pyelonephritis will result from 20% to 30% of asymptomatic bacteruria if treatment is not received. This poses a major risk to the mother and fetus since it can lead to low birth weight babies, early delivery situations, and sometimes, stillbirth [22]. Pregnancy-related UTIs may raise the chance of mental impairment or cerebral disability. As a result, it becomes essential to closely monitor UTI infections in pregnant women [6].

Because pregnant women excrete more amino acids, vitamins, and other substances in their urine, which promote the infection's persistence, they are more likely to get a UTI [23]. Pregnant women have a physiological increase in plasma volume that lowers urine concentration. Additionally, the majority of pregnant women (70%) experience glycosuria, which is thought to promote bacterial growth in urine [3]. Furthermore, several defense systems of the mother become less efficient during pregnancy [24].

The research population's 49.4% incidence rate of UTIs in pregnant women is almost identical to data by Okonko et al. [25] in Nigeria, where they reported a 47.5% incidence rate in pregnant women. Nonetheless, reports indicate that other nations, such as 38% in Iran, 28.5% in Pakistan, 14.2% in Saudi Arabia, 10.6% in Turkey, and 30% from Yemen, have somewhat lower incidence rates of UTI [26].

The results of this study are lower than the incidence rates of 58% and 71.6% found in two separate Nigerian towns where pregnant women participated in a comparable study [27, 28]. This might be brought on by inadequate environmental and personal hygiene, a low socioeconomic position, or a lack of knowledge about health care. India has reported varying rates of urinary tract infections (UTIs) among non-pregnant women: 10.8% in Aligarh [29], 16.3% in Tamilnadu [30], and 40.4% in Imphal, Manipur [31].

Additionally, this study demonstrates that a high incidence rate of 54.8% of women with UTIs were in their third trimester or later. According to our findings about the incidence of UTI by parity, women who were pregnant for their third pregnancy or more had a higher incidence. With the exception of the first pregnancy, our findings are very identical to those from Nigeria as reported by Okonko 2009 [25]. Parity is thus one of the potential variables influencing the prevalence and incidence of UTI in expectant mothers.

It is anticipated that the third trimester would have the highest prevalence of UTIs rather than the second and first trimesters due to growing blockage of the urinary system. In comparison to studies from Nigeria (42.5%) [25], this study indicates a much lower incidence of UTI among women in their first trimester (25%) [26]. However, it is slightly higher than data from Yemen

(17.1%) [26]. The study's second- and third-trimester UTI incidence rates (43.3%) and 54.15%, respectively, are comparable to reports from Okonko [25] in Nigeria, while Al Haddad [6] reported lower incidence rates of 34.1% and 48.8%, respectively. According to past studies and our personal findings, pregnant women experience more than 50% of their UTIs between the sixth and

Regarding the age of infection, gestation, and parity, our findings are consistent with those of the other investigations [25, 26]. Pregnant women are more likely to have a UTI when their parity, age, and gestational age are increased.

Urine samples from pregnant women were used to isolate Enterobacteriaceae, a family of Gram-negative bacteria. Comparing our study's 56.79% prevalence of uropathogens to the most often isolated organisms in Britain (65.1%) and two US studies conducted in 2001 by Sahn et al. [32], *Escherichia coli* was found to be the most common. This result is consistent with recent results that indicate the most prevalent pathogens recovered from UTI patients are gram negative bacteria, especially *E. coli* [27, 33].

Comparing our study's *E. Coli* incidence to Nigerian studies that reported 42.10% [25] and 51% [34], we found that ours was higher. Less than 50% of *E. coli* isolates from UTI patients were found in the majority of studies done in Africa and the Arab world. However, *S. aureus* isolates from UTI cases more frequently—29%—than *E. coli*. According to reports from other industrialized and developing nations, less than 10% of uropathogens are identified as Gram positive bacteria [29–31]. The fact that no single species of *S aureus* was recovered in this investigation is noteworthy; yet, less than 1% of uropathogens are related to Enterococci sps.

In our investigation, *Klebsiella* species (19.9%), *Pseudomonas* species (6.3%), and *Proteus* species (5.8%) were the most frequently isolated uropathogens. This study was comparable to others [35–39]. Additional pathogens that were identified included *Enterococcus* sps (0.9%), *Citrobacter* (1.4%), *Enterobacter* (3.8%), and NFGNB (4.8%). A research conducted in Aurangabad, however, revealed that *Klebsiella* was the most often occurring isolate, followed by *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* [40].

With a few small exceptions, our findings are consistent with reports from researchers in other nations. These variations might be attributed to variations in the surrounding environment, community social customs, staff cleanliness standards, and health care delivery [41–43].

Conclusion

Pregnancy-related UTIs are linked to substantial morbidity for both the mother and the fetus. According to our findings, the greater incidence rate of UTI (49.4%) in pregnant women examined than in other previously published research may be because urine samples were exclusively taken from pregnant women exhibiting UTI-like symptoms. In addition, it was found that *E. Coli* was the most commonly isolated organism, that the third trimester had a higher prevalence rate, and that the seventh month of pregnancy had the highest infection rate. These findings suggest that, in addition to personal hygiene and socioeconomic status, older pregnant women and higher parity are more susceptible to UTIs. This study emphasizes the necessity of increasing UTI preventive programs and raising public knowledge of UTIs during pregnancy by maintaining hygienic conditions.

References

- [1]. D. Leigh “Urinary Tract Infections,” In: G. R. Smith and F. Easma Charles, Eds., *Topley and Wilson’s Principles of Bacteriology, Virology and Immunity*, Butler and Tanler Ltd., Frome and London, 1990, pp. 197-214.
- [2]. A. M. Awaness, M. G. Al-Saadi and S. A. Aadoas, “An-tibiotics Resistance in Recurrent Urinary Tract Infection,” *Kufa Medical Journal*, Vol. 3, 2000.
- [3]. J. E. Delzell Jr. and M. L. Lefevre, “Urinary Tract Infections during Pregnancy,” *American Family Physician*, Vol. 61, 2000, pp. 713-720.

- [4]. T. F. Patterson and V. T. Andriole, "Bacteriuria in Pregnancy," *Infectious Disease Clinics of North America*, Vol. 1, 1987, pp. 807-822.
- [5]. F. G. Cunningham and M. J. Lucas, "7 Urinary Tract Infections Complicating Pregnancy," *Baillière's Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1994, pp. 353-373. doi:10.1016/S0950-3552(05)80325-6
- [6]. B. Nowicki, "Urinary Tract Infections in Pregnant Women: Old Dogmas and Current Concepts Regarding Pathogenesis," *Current Infectious Disease Reports*, Vol. 4, No. 6, 2002, pp. 529-535.
- [7]. C. A. Gales, R. N. Jones, K. A. Gordon, H. S. Sader, W. Wilke, M. L. Beach, M. A. Pfaller, G. V. Doern and the SENENTRY Study Group (Latin America), "Activity and Spectrum of 22 Antimicrobial Agents Tested against Urinary Tract Infection Pathogens in Hospitalised Patients in Latin America: Reports from the Second Year of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1998)," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, Vol. 45, No. 3, pp. 293-303.
- [8]. Hart, T. Pham, S. Nowicki, *et al.*, "Gestational Pyelonephritis Associated *Escherichia coli* Isolates Represent Non-Random Closely Related Population," *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, Vol. 1748, No. 3, 1996, pp. 983-989. doi:10.1016/S0002-9378(96)70337-X
- [9]. M. G. Bergerson, "Treatment of Pyelonephritis in Adults," *Medical Clinics of North America*, Vol. 75, 1995, pp. 619-649.
- [10]. B. Nowicki, "In Vitro Models for the Study of Uropathogens," In: H. L.T. Mobley and J. W. Warren, Eds., *Urinary Tract Infection: Molecular Pathogenesis to Clinical Management*, ASM Press, Washington DC, 1996, pp. 3-29.
- [11]. D. M. Guyer, S. Radulovic, F.-E. Jones and H. L. T. Mobley, "Sat, the Secreted Autotransporter Toxin of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, Is a Vacuolating Cytotoxin for Bladder and Kidney Epithelial Cells," *Infection and Immunity*, Vol. 70, No. 8, 2002, pp. 4539-4546. doi:10.1128/IAI.70.8.4539-4546.2002
- [12]. C. J. Bennet, M. N. Young and H. Darrington, "Differences in Urinary Tract Infection in Male and Female Spinal Cord Injury Patients on Intermittent Catheterisation," *Paraplegia*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 1995, pp. 69-72. doi:10.1038/sc.1995.17
- [13]. X. Li, H. Zhao, C. V. Lockett, C. B. Drachenberg, D. E. Johnson and H. L. T. Mobley, "Visualization of *Proteus mirabilis* within the Matrix of Urease-Induced Bladder Stones during Experimental Urinary Tract Infections," *Infection and Immunity*, Vol. 70, No. 1, 2000, pp. 389-394. doi:10.1128/IAI.70.1.389-394.2002
- [14]. L. Hillebrand, O. H. Harmanli, V. Whiteman and M. Khandelwal, "Urinary Tract Infections in Pregnant Women with Bacterial Vaginosis," *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, Vol. 186, No. 5, 2002, pp. 916. doi:10.1067/mob.2002.123987
- [15]. G. Kahlmeter, "The ECO-SENS Project: A Prospective Multinational Multicenter Epidemiological Survey of the Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Urinary Tract Pathogens," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, Vol. 46, Suppl. 1, 2000, pp. 15-22. doi:10.1093/jac/46.suppl_1.15
- [16]. J. W. Warren, "Nosocomial Urinary Tract Infection," In: G. L. Mandell, J. E. Bennett and R. Dolin, Eds., *Principles and Practice of Infectious Disease*, Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, 2000, pp. 3028-3039.
- [17]. S. Kramery, J. Hromec and D. Demesova, "Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Infections in Pregnancy," *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2001, pp. 279-282. doi:10.1016/S0924-8579(00)00351-4

- [18]. J. Beckford-Ball, "Related Articles, Management of Sus-pected Bacterial Urinary Tract Infection," *Nursing Times*, Vol. 102, No. 32, 2006, pp. 25-26.
- [19]. E. Giron, C. Rioux, C. Brun-Buisson and B. Lobel, "In-fection Committee of the French Association of Urology. The Postoperative Bacteriuria Score: A New Way to Pre-dict Nosocomial Infection after Prostate Surgery," *Infec-tion Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, Vol. 27, No. 8, 2006, pp. 847-854. doi:10.1086/506398
- [20]. M. Cheesbrough, "Medical Laboratories Manual for Tropical Countries," Butterworth-Heinemann, Cambridge, 2002.
- [21]. M. Cheesbrough, "District Laboratories Manual for Tro-pical Countries," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [22]. E. H. Kass, "Pyelonephritis and Bacteriuria. Major Prob-lem in Preventative Medicine," *Annals of Internal Medi-cine*, Vol. 56, No. 1, 1962, 46-53. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-56-1-46
- [23]. A. W. Asscher, M. Sussman, W. E. Waters, R. H. Davis and S. Chick, "Urine as a Medium for Bacterial Growth," *The Lancet*, Vol. 288, No. 7472, 1966, pp. 1037-1041. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(66)92023-X
- [24]. I. R. McFadyen, M. Campell-Brown, M. Stephenson and D. V. Seal, "Single-Dose Treatment of Bacteriuria in Pregnancy," *European Urology*, Vol. 13, Suppl. 1, 1987, pp. 22-25.
- [25]. I. O. Okonko, L. A. Ijandipe, O. A. Ilusanya, *et al.*, "In-cidence of Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) among Pregnant Women in Ibadan, South-Western Nigeria," *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 8, No. 23, 2009, pp. 6649-6657.
- [26]. A. M. Al-Haddad, "Urinary Tract Infection among Preg-nant Women in Al-Mukalla District, Yemen," *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 2005, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 505-510.
- [27]. A. K. Onifade, F. O. Omoya and D. V. Adegunloye, "In-cidence and Control of Urinary Tract Infections among Pregnant Women Attending Antennal Clinics in Gov-ernment Hospitals in Ondo State, Nigeria," *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2005, pp. 37-38.
- [28]. B. Jellheden, R. S. Norrby and T. Sandberg, "Sympto-matic Urinary Tract Infection in Women in Primary Health Care: Bacteriological, Clinical and Diagnostic Aspect in Relation to Host Response to Infection. (Com-ment)," *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 1996, pp. 122-128. doi:10.3109/02813439608997082
- [29]. M. Akram, M. Shahid and A. U. Khan, "Aetiology and Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of Community Acquired Urinary Tract Infections in JNMC Hospital Aligarh, In-dia," *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, Vol. 6, 2007, pp. 4-10. doi:10.1186/1476-0711-6-4
- [30]. E. Mahesh, D. Ramesh, V. A. Indumathi *et al.*, "Compli-cated Urinary Tract Infection in a Tertiary Care Center in South India," *Al Ameen Journal of Medical Science*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2010, pp. 120-127.
- [31]. C. Yengkokpam, D. Ingudam, *et al.*, "Antibiotic Suscep-tibility Pattern of Urinary Isolates in Imphal (Manipur), India," *Nepal Medical College Journal*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2007, pp. 170-172.
- [32]. D. Sahm, C. Thomsbery, D. Mayfield, M. Jones and J. Karlowsky, "Multidrug Resistant Urinary Tract Isolates f *Escherichia coli*: Prevalence and Patient demographic in the United States in 2000," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, Vol. 45, No. 5, 2001, pp. 1402-1406. doi:10.1128/AAC.45.5.1402-1406.2001
- [33]. E. E. A. Okonofua and B. N. Okonofua, "Incidence and Pattern of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria of Pregnancy in Ni-gerian Women," *The Nigerian Medical Practitioner*, Vol. 17, 1989, pp. 354-358.
- [34]. P. I. Nwanze, L. M. Nwaru, S. Oranusi, U. Dimkpa, M. U. Okwu, B. B. Babatunde, A. Anake, W. Jatto and C. E. Asagwara, "Urinary Tract Infection in Okada Village: Prevalence and

- Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern,” *Scientific Research and Essays*, Vol. 2, No. 4, 2007, pp. 112-116.
- [35]. P. K. Chhetri, S. K. Rai, U. N. Pathak, *et al.*, “Retrospective Study of Urinary Tract Infection at Nepal Medical College Teaching Hospital, Kathmandu,” *Nepal Medical College Journal*, Vol. 3, 2001, pp. 83-85.
- [36]. C. K. Rai, B. M. Pokhrel and A. P. Sharma, “A Prospective Study of Antibiotic Sensitivity Profile of the Organisms Associated with Clinical Infections among the Patients Attending TU Teaching Hospital,” *Journal of Nepal Association for Medical Laboratory Sciences*, Vol. 3, 2001, pp. 13-16.
- [37]. Y. Kumamoto, T. Tsukamoto, T. Hirose, *et al.*, “Comparative Studies on Activities of Antimicrobial Agents against Causative Organisms Isolated from Patients with Urinary Tract Infections,” *The Journal of Antibiotics*, Vol. 51, No. 2, 1998, pp. 112-129.
- [38]. N. Kumari, G. Ghirmire, J. K. Magar, T. M. Mohapatra and A. Rai, “Antibiogram Pattern of Isolates from UTI Cases in Eastern Part of Nepal,” *Nepal Medical College Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2005, pp. 116-118.
- [39]. M. Vromen, A. J. Vandervan, A. Knols and E. E. Sttobberingh, “Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns in Urinary Isolates from Nursing Home Residents. Fifteen Years of Data Reviewed,” *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, Vol. 44, No. 1, 1999, pp. 113-116. doi:10.1093/jac/44.1.113
- [40]. J. K. Bajaj, R. P. Karyokarte, J. D. Kulkarni and A. B. Deshmukh, “Changing Aetiology of Urinary Tract Infections and Emergence of Drugs Resistance as a Major Problem,” *The Journals on Communicable Diseases*, Vol. 31, No. 3, 1999, pp. 181-184.
- [41]. T. M. Hooton, “Recurrent Urinary Tract Infection in Women,” *Antimicrobial Agents*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2001, pp. 259-268. doi:10.1016/S0924-8579(00)00350-2
- [42]. V. Skerk, *et al.*, “Antimicrobial Therapy of Urinary Tract Infection,” *Lejecnicki Vjesnik*, Vol. 123, No. 1-2, 2001, pp. 16-25.
- [43]. D. R. Laurence, P. N. Bennett and M. J. Brown, “Clinical Pharmacology,” 8th Edition, Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, 1997.